

SMART CONTRACT CODE REVIEW AND SECURITY ANALYSIS REPORT

Customer:Boobanker.orgPrepared on:11/11/2020Platform:EthereumLanguage:Solidity

audit@etherauthority.io

Table of contents

Document	3
Introduction	4
Project Scope	4
Executive Summary	5
Code Quality	5
Documentation	6
Use of Dependencies	6
AS-IS overview	7
Severity Definitions	10
Audit Findings	11
Conclusion	12
Disclaimers	14

THIS DOCUMENT MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT IT SYSTEMS AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF THE CUSTOMER AS WELL AS INFORMATION ABOUT POTENTIAL VULNERABILITIES AND METHODS OF THEIR EXPLOITATION. THE REPORT CONTAINING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION CAN BE USED INTERNALLY BY THE CUSTOMER OR IT CAN BE DISCLOSED PUBLICLY AFTER ALL VULNERABILITIES ARE FIXED - UPON DECISION OF CUSTOMER.

Document

Name	Smart Contract Code Review and Security Analysis Report for BooBank
Platform	Ethereum / Solidity
MD5 hash	2ac4cec836790b21787ad510865d5823
File name	booBank.sol
SHA512 hash	f2418c62d5bcf65629482d48dc55f979fe108047888674eaa8a61bbc3f1fc0 8f3cfed4493ab393a8f210db3d2614f078aa0d660aa9dfe677b02304d819ec ed1f
Date	11/11/2020

Introduction

EtherAuthority.io (Consultant) was contracted by BooBanker Team (Customer) to conduct a Smart Contracts Code Review and Security Analysis. This report presents the findings of the security assessment of Customer's smart contracts and its code review conducted between Oct, 31st 2020 – Nov 11th, 2020.

Project Scope

The scope of the project is **BooBank** smart contract.

We have scanned this smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that are considered (the full list includes them but is not limited to them):

- Reentrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with (Unexpected) Throw
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Byte array vulnerabilities
- Style guide violation
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Unchecked external call Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

Executive Summary

According to the assessment, Customer's solidity smart contract is well secured.

Insecure	Poor secured	Secure	Well-secured
			A
		You are here 📃	

Our team performed analysis of code functionality, manual audit and automated checks with smartDec, Mythril, Slither and remix IDE. All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in the Audit overview section. General overview is presented in AS-IS section and all found issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

We found 0 high, 0 medium and 0 low and some very low level issues.

Code Quality

BooBanker protocol consists of modular smart contracts, which interact with each other . This modularization increases the efficiency of the code execution flow. This type of structure of smart contracts and their various libraries, create complexity while code deployment. However on another hand, it also increases ease of code writing in the development process.

The libraries in the BooBanker protocol are part of its logical algorithm. A library is a different type of smart contract that contains reusable code. Once deployed on the blockchain (only once), it is assigned a specific address and its properties / methods can be reused many times by other contracts in the BooBanker protocol.

BooBank team has **not** provided scenario and unit test scripts, which would help to determine the integrity of the code in an automated way.

Overall, the code is well commented (except some function blocks). Commenting can provide rich documentation for functions, return variables and more. Use of Ethereum Natural Language Specification Format (NatSpec) for commenting is recommended.

Documentation

As mentioned above, our team found the code which was well commented except for a few functions. It's recommended to write comments in the smart contract code, so anyone can quickly understand the programming flow as well as complex code logic.

We were given BooBanker tokenomics online version at: <u>https://boobank.medium.com/boobank-tokenomics-129e772b2006</u> which was very helpful in understanding the overall architecture of the protocol. It also provided a clear overview of the system components, including helpful details, like the lifetime of the background script.

Use of Dependencies

As per our observation, the libraries are used in this smart contract infrastructure. Those were based on well known industry standard open source projects. And even core code blocks are also modeled from the running source of production with little changes as mentioned in the comment section also which reflects its production ready nature. In Spite of that we conducted many test cases to find its vulnerabilities and found nothing serious.

AS-IS overview

BooBanker contract overview

BooBanker is a collection of smart contract functions that collectively provides decentralized financial activities to the users. Its file format is described below:

booBank.sol Import: null

Contract: context

Inherit: null

About: This contract provides context of execution in the form of msg.sender and msg.value.

Observation: Since this contract was not used in GSN. So no need for this, and this can be safely removed. On another hand, the presence and use of this also does not create any vulnerability.

Test Report: All passed including security check.

Score: Passed

Conclusion: Remove it from all four inheritance and import lines

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	_msgsender	read	Passed	All Passed	remove it	Passed
2	_msgData	read	Passed	All Passed	remove it	Passed

Contract: ERC20 Inherit: IERC20 About: ERC20 standard function signatures. Observation: No issues identified Test Report: All passed including security check. Score: Passed

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test	Conclusi	Score
				Report	on	
1	name	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	symbol	read	token view	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
3	decimals	read	token view	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
4	totalsupply	read	token view	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
5	balanceof	read	token view	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
6	transfer	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
7	allowance	read	token view	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
8	approve	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
9	transferFrom	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
10	IncreaseAllowa	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
	nce					
11	DecreaseAllow	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
	ance					
12	_transfer	internal	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
13	_mint	internal	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
14	_burn	internal	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
15	_approve	Inernal	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
16	_SetupDecimal	Internal	unused	All Passed	remove	Passed
	S				it	
17	_beforeTokenT	Internal	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
	ransfer					

Contract: ownable

Inherit: context

About: Collectively global constant defined here

Observation: No issue found.

Test Report: All passed including security check.

Score: Passed

Conclusion: Production ready

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	Owner	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	renounceownershi	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
	р					
3	transferownership	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

Contract: Ectoplasma Inherit: ERC20 About: Basic pool helper file Observation: No issue found.

Test Report: All passed including security check.

Score: Passed

Conclusion: Production ready

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	mint	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	transfer	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
3	transferFrom	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
4	SetDevAddr	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
5	SetBurnRate	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

Contract: BooBank

Inherit: ERC20, Ownable

About: Dedicated method to calculate numerical figures as per plan.

Observation: No issue found.

Test Report: All passed including security check.

Score: Passed

Conclusion: Production ready

SI.	Function	Туре	Observation	Test Report	Conclusion	Score
1	transfer	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
2	transferFrom	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
3	SetBurnRate	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
4	mint	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
5	SetDevAddr	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
6	SetPauseBlock	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
7	delegates	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
8	delegate	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
9	delegateBysig	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
10	getcurrentVotes	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
11	getpriorVotes	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
12	_delegate	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
13	_moveDelegates	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
14	_writecheckpoint	write	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
15	Safe32	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed
16	getChainId	read	Passed	All Passed	No Issue	Passed

Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to tokens lose etc.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial functions
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related toLowoutdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution	
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

Audit Findings

Critical

No critical severity vulnerabilities were found.

High

No high severity vulnerabilities were found.

Medium

No medium severity vulnerabilities were found.

Low

No low severity vulnerabilities were found.

Very Low

(1) Apart from some unUsed functions no such issues were found.

(2) Remix displays this warning (yellow box) is not need any attention because is is for future version alert



- (3) Line 1053 contains a costly loop if limited by plan then its OK
- (4) Some reports indicated by automated static tools are below, none of them

is critical hence can be ignored in terms of production.

L	Papaliteed for relativenential of relative of rore to re-	
3	*/	Using approve function of the ERC-
4	I convil and the second s	token standard
5	// SPDX-License-Identifier: MIT	token standard
6	pragma solidity ^0.6.2;	
8		Costly loop
9		
10	//	Overpowered role
11	/*	
12	* @dev Provides information about the current execution context, including the	Compiler version not fixed
13	* sender of the transaction and its data. While these are generally available	compiler version not fixed
14 15	 * via msg.sender and msg.data, they should not be accessed in such a direct * manner, since when dealing with GSN meta-transactions the account sending and 	
15	* paying for execution may not be the actual sender (as far as an application	Private modifier
17	* is concerned).	
18	i je kole u stranova zavljatila * Na stranova zavljatila se stranova zavljatila se stranova se stranova se stranova se stranova se stranova se st	Use of SafeMath
19	* This contract is only required for intermediate, library-like contracts.	
20	*/	Pure-functions should not
21	abstract contract Context {	0.000
		read/change state
1.12		View-function should not change
C	ompiler version not fixed 🛛 🗞	state

- (5) There is some deep nesting of msg.sender. So it is better to just use msg.sender to save some gas consumption.
- (6) By controlling byte packaging, gas consumption can be improved up to a good noticeable margin.

Conclusion

We were given contract files. And we have used all possible tests based on given objects as files. The contracts are written so systematic and well commented (except few functions), that we found almost no critical things. So it is good to go for production.

Since possible test cases can be unlimited for such extensive smart contract protocol, so we provide no such guarantee of future outcomes. We have used all the latest static tools and manual observations to cover maximum possible test cases to scan everything.

Smart contracts within the scope, were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. Smart Contract's high level description of functionality was presented in As-is overview section of the report.

Audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Security state of reviewed contracts is "Well Secured".

Disclaimers

EtherAuthority.io Disclaimer

The smart contracts given for audit have been analyzed in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to: cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment and functionality (performing the intended functions).

Because the total number of test cases are unlimited, so the audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only we recommend proceeding with several independent audits and a public bug bounty program to ensure security of smart contracts.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have their own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.

