

SMART CONTRACT

Security Audit Report

Project: Akiba Finance
Website: <http://akiba.finance>
Platform: Kava Chain
Language: Solidity
Date: January 30th, 2023

Table of contents

Introduction	4
Project Background	4
Audit Scope	5
Claimed Smart Contract Features	7
Audit Summary	10
Technical Quick Stats	11
Code Quality	12
Documentation	12
Use of Dependencies	12
AS-IS overview	13
Severity Definitions	21
Audit Findings	22
Conclusion	27
Our Methodology	28
Disclaimers	30
Appendix	
• Code Flow Diagram	31
• Slither Results Log	50
• Solidity static analysis	57
• Solhint Linter	73

THIS IS SECURITY AUDIT REPORT DOCUMENT AND WHICH MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION WHICH IS CONFIDENTIAL. WHICH INCLUDES ANY POTENTIAL VULNERABILITIES AND MALICIOUS CODES WHICH CAN BE USED TO EXPLOIT THE SOFTWARE. THIS MUST BE REFERRED INTERNALLY AND ONLY SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC AFTER ISSUES ARE RESOLVED.

Introduction

EtherAuthority was contracted by Akiba Finance to perform the Security audit of the Akiba Finance Protocol smart contracts code. The audit has been performed using manual analysis as well as using automated software tools. This report presents all the findings regarding the audit performed on January 30th, 2023.

The purpose of this audit was to address the following:

- Ensure that all claimed functions exist and function correctly.
- Identify any security vulnerabilities that may be present in the smart contract.

Project Background

- Akiba Finance is a project that aims to create a synthetic protocol and support a synthetic asset market. The goal of the protocol is to create a synthesis of tokens in the KAVA network, as well as assets that are not yet traded on the network.
- Akiba Finance presents a partially collateralized design in which the protocol's synths are collateralized by the underlying asset as well as Akiba's own token.
- \$KAWALL is a next-generation KAVA reward token on the KAVA ecosystem.
- 2% of every transaction made with the \$KAWALL tokens goes back to holders of \$KAWALL in KAVA rewards.
- Akiba Finance Contracts have functions like mint, redeem, recollateralize, addLiquidity, add, set, withdraw, stake, setRewarder, getYTokenPrice, maxTotalSupply, etc.
- The Akiba Finance contract inherits the ERC20, SafeERC20, Ownable, ReentrancyGuard, Address, IUniswapV2Router02, SafeMath, Math, Initializable, IERC20, IUniswapV2Pair, ERC20Burnable standard smart contracts from the OpenZeppelin library.
- These OpenZeppelin contracts are considered community-audited and time-tested, and hence are not part of the audit scope.

Audit scope

Name	Code Review and Security Analysis Report for Akiba Finance Protocol Smart Contracts
Platform	Kava Chain / Solidity
File 1	Pool.sol
File 1 MD5 Hash	5A2A00BB08B8E6D864762B7923234D83
File 2	SwapStrategyPOL.sol
File 2 MD5 Hash	3AE7E63D48701C411ABB283789C1437F
File 3	DaoChef.sol
File 3 MD5 Hash	E12C4E0BDCB405DD0DB61CCF7173ED06
File 4	DaoStaking.sol
File 4 MD5 Hash	420E6CBD2A617CF1A889C9FB3748A035
File 5	DaoZapMMSwap.sol
File 5 MD5 Hash	8C94DD4015FAD718D7A77F614090C88E
File 6	NFTController.sol
File 6 MD5 Hash	7B517FFAE5E28C8D3B7020747FFA8659
File 7	DevFund.sol
File 7 MD5 Hash	421922B4D673537DDF2B3670B3DDF2D0
File 8	EcosystemFund.sol
File 8 MD5 Hash	AB52539B109A86609DB6A08241470A1E
File 9	Fund.sol
File 9 MD5 Hash	47370A0301A3BBA40747C7FFD8A18E6B
File 10	Reserve.sol
File 10 MD5 Hash	FCF4CA4DFA100BEB80A7618F182D28A6
File 11	MasterOracle.sol
File 11 MD5 Hash	26FFB8A6EB84AABF384A830DB4572C0A
File 12	UniswapPairOracle.sol

File 12 MD5 Hash	37801A23DE6F4571ADD278A4A062C1D5
File 13	XToken.sol
File 13 MD5 Hash	83382FC411F2E4462B30C55D6F62A2DD
File 14	YToken.sol
File 14 MD5 Hash	FFA9BDAB9AEE9D07DB46CB3A23A34696
File 15	AKIBA.sol
File 15 MD5 Hash	C57DBC87D69DA93EB9C9F0C1764186C5
File 16	KAVAX.sol
File 16 MD5 Hash	FF29BA8EC16693A3F4D4D5CB44691963
File 17	DaoTreasury.sol
File 17 MD5 Hash	6F7D4440E3559A369F54292716F4922C
File 18	StratRecollateralize.sol
File 18 MD5 Hash	C02B3F40E26D074FB153BAC73AD35F92
File 19	StratReduceReserveLP.sol
File 19 MD5 Hash	16E6A30B5CAEDE87A5F4A5BFF827D22F
Audit Date	January 30th,2023

Claimed Smart Contract Features

Claimed Feature Detail	Our Observation
File 1 Pool.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refresh Cooldown: 1 hour • Ratio StepUp: 0.2% • Ratio StepDown: 0.1% • Price Target: 1 • Price Band: 0.004 • YToken Slippage: 20% • Redemption Fee: 0.5% • Redemption Fee Maximum: 0.9% • Minting Fee: 0.5% • Minting Fee Maximum: 0.5% 	<p>YES, This is valid.</p> <p>Owner authorized wallet can set some percentage value and we suggest handling the private key of that wallet securely.</p>
File 2 SwapStrategyPOL.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Swap Slippage: 20% 	<p>YES, This is valid.</p> <p>Owner authorized wallet can set some percentage value and we suggest handling the private key of that wallet securely.</p>
File 3 DaoChef.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maximum Number Of Pools: 36 • Maximum Reward: 10 token per second 	<p>YES, This is valid.</p>
File 4 DaoStaking.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grouped Duration: 1 day • Rewards Duration: 1 week • Lock Duration: 4 weeks • Team Rewards: 20% • Maximum Team Rewards: 20% 	<p>YES, This is valid.</p>
File 5 DaoZapMMSwap.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DaoZap is a ZapperFi's simplified version of zapper contract which will: 	<p>YES, This is valid.</p>

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. use ETH to swap to target tokens. 2. make LP between ETH and target token. 3. add into DaoChef farm. 	
File 6 NFTController.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Default Boost Rate: 1% 	YES, This is valid.
File 7 Fund.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Owner can transfer amounts. 	YES, This is valid.
File 8 DevFund.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allocation: 10% • Vesting Duration: 2 Years 	YES, This is valid. Owner authorized wallet can set some percentage value and we suggest handling the private key of that wallet securely.
File 9 Reserve.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Owner can set the pool address. • Owner can remove the pool address. 	YES, This is valid.
File 10 EcosystemFund.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allocation: 20% • Vesting Duration: 3 Years 	YES, This is valid.
File 11 MasterOracle.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MasterOracle has functions like: getXTokenPrice, getYTokenPrice, getYTokenTWAP, etc. 	YES, This is valid.
File 12 UniswapPairOracle.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Period: 60-minute TWAP (Time-Weighted Average Price) • Maximum Period: 48 Hours • Minimum Period: 10 Minutes • Leniency: 12 Hours 	YES, This is valid.

File 13 XToken.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Owner can set the minter address for XToken. • Owner can remove the minter address from XToken. • Owner can Mint new XToken. 	YES, This is valid.
File 14 YToken.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The YToken contract inherits the ERC20Burnable standard smart contracts from the OpenZeppelin library. 	YES, This is valid.
File 15 AKIBA.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total Supply: 5 Million • Owner can set openTrading's true status. 	YES, This is valid.
File 16 KAVAX.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Genesis Supply: 100 	YES, This is valid.
File 17 DaoTreasury.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DaoTreasury is to store the reserve of Akiba Protocol. • These contracts will have a whitelist of strategy contracts which can request funding from the Reserve. • These strategy contracts can be used to Allocate fee, Convert reserve to Protocol Owned Liquidity, Recollateralize, etc 	YES, This is valid.
File 18 StratRecollateralize.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Owner can recollateralize the minting pool. 	YES, This is valid.
File 19 StratReduceReserveLP.sol <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Owner can remove liquidity, buy back YToken and burn. 	YES, This is valid.

Audit Summary

According to the standard audit assessment, Customer's solidity smart contracts are **"Secured"**. Also, these contracts do contain owner control, which does not make them fully decentralized.



We used various tools like Slither, Solhint and Remix IDE. At the same time this finding is based on critical analysis of the manual audit.

All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in the Audit overview section. General overview is presented in AS-IS section and all identified issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

We found 0 critical, 0 high, 0 medium and 3 low and some very low level issues.

Investors Advice: Technical audit of the smart contract does not guarantee the ethical nature of the project. Any owner controlled functions should be executed by the owner with responsibility. All investors/users are advised to do their due diligence before investing in the project.

Technical Quick Stats

Main Category	Subcategory	Result
Contract Programming	Solidity version not specified	Passed
	Solidity version too old	Passed
	Integer overflow/underflow	Passed
	Function input parameters lack of check	Moderated
	Function input parameters check bypass	Passed
	Function access control lacks management	Passed
	Critical operation lacks event log	Moderated
	Human/contract checks bypass	Passed
	Random number generation/use vulnerability	N/A
	Fallback function misuse	Passed
	Race condition	Passed
	Logical vulnerability	Passed
	Features claimed	Passed
	Other programming issues	Passed
Code Specification	Function visibility not explicitly declared	Passed
	Var. storage location not explicitly declared	Passed
	Use keywords/functions to be deprecated	Passed
	Unused code	Passed
Gas Optimization	"Out of Gas" Issue	Passed
	High consumption 'for/while' loop	Passed
	High consumption 'storage' storage	Passed
	Assert() misuse	Passed
Business Risk	The maximum limit for mintage not set	Moderated
	"Short Address" Attack	Passed
	"Double Spend" Attack	Passed

Overall Audit Result: PASSED

Code Quality

This audit scope has 19 smart contract files. Smart contracts contain Libraries, Smart contracts, inherits and Interfaces. This is a compact and well written smart contract.

The libraries in the Akiba Finance Protocol are part of its logical algorithm. A library is a different type of smart contract that contains reusable code. Once deployed on the blockchain (only once), it is assigned a specific address and its properties / methods can be reused many times by other contracts in the Akiba Finance Protocol.

The Akiba Finance team has not provided unit test scripts, which would have helped to determine the integrity of the code in an automated way.

Code parts are **not** well commented on smart contracts.

Documentation

We were given a Akiba Finance Protocol smart contract code in the form of a file. The hash of that code is mentioned above in the table.

As mentioned above, code parts are **not well** commented. But the logic is straightforward. So it is easy to quickly understand the programming flow as well as complex code logic. Comments are very helpful in understanding the overall architecture of the protocol.

Another source of information was its official website <http://akiba.finance> which provided rich information about the project architecture and tokenomics.

Use of Dependencies

As per our observation, the libraries are used in this smart contracts infrastructure that are based on well known industry standard open source projects.

Apart from libraries, its functions are used in external smart contract calls.

AS-IS overview

Pool.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	nonReentrant	modifier	Passed	No Issue
8	info	external	Passed	No Issue
9	usableCollateralBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
10	calcMint	read	Passed	No Issue
11	calcRedeem	read	Passed	No Issue
12	calcExcessCollateralBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
13	refreshCollateralRatio	read	Passed	No Issue
14	mint	external	Passed	No Issue
15	redeem	external	Passed	No Issue
16	collect	external	Passed	No Issue
17	recollateralize	external	Passed	No Issue
18	checkPriceFluctuation	internal	Passed	No Issue
19	toggle	write	access only Owner	No Issue
20	setCollateralRatioOptions	write	access only Owner	No Issue
21	toggleCollateralRatio	write	access only Owner	No Issue
22	setFees	write	access only Owner	No Issue
23	setMinCollateralRatio	external	access only Owner	No Issue
24	reduceExcessCollateral	external	access only Owner	No Issue
25	setSwapStrategy	external	access only Owner	No Issue
26	setOracle	external	access only Owner	No Issue
27	setYTokenSlippage	external	access only Owner	No Issue
28	setTreasury	external	Function access control lacks management	Refer Audit Findings
29	transferToTreasury	internal	Passed	No Issue

SwapStrategyPOL.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue

3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	_transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	lpBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
8	execute	external	Passed	No Issue
9	swap	internal	Passed	No Issue
10	addLiquidity	internal	Passed	No Issue
11	cleanDust	external	access only Owner	No Issue
12	changeSlippage	external	access only Owner	No Issue
13	calculateSwapInAmount	internal	Passed	No Issue

DaoChef.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	_transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	poolLength	read	Passed	No Issue
8	pendingReward	external	Passed	No Issue
9	updatePool	write	Passed	No Issue
10	massUpdatePools	write	Passed	No Issue
11	deposit	write	Passed	No Issue
12	withdraw	write	Passed	No Issue
13	harvest	write	Passed	No Issue
14	withdrawAndHarvest	write	Passed	No Issue
15	emergencyWithdraw	write	Passed	No Issue
16	harvestAllRewards	external	Passed	No Issue
17	checkPoolDuplicate	internal	Passed	No Issue
18	add	write	access only Owner	No Issue
19	set	write	access only Owner	No Issue
20	setRewardPerSecond	write	access only Owner	No Issue
21	setRewardMinter	external	Passed	No Issue
22	getBoost	read	Passed	No Issue
23	getSlots	read	Passed	No Issue
24	getTokenIds	read	Passed	No Issue
25	depositNFT	write	Passed	No Issue
26	withdrawNFT	write	Passed	No Issue
27	setNftController	write	access only Owner	No Issue
28	setNftBoostRate	write	access only Owner	No Issue

DaoStaking.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	_transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	addReward	write	Function input parameters lack of check	Refer Audit Findings
8	approveRewardDistributor	external	Function input parameters lack of check	Refer Audit Findings
9	_rewardPerToken	internal	Passed	No Issue
10	earned	internal	Passed	No Issue
11	lastTimeRewardApplicable	read	Passed	No Issue
12	rewardPerToken	external	Passed	No Issue
13	getRewardForDuration	external	Passed	No Issue
14	claimableRewards	external	Passed	No Issue
15	totalBalance	external	Passed	No Issue
16	unlockedBalance	external	Passed	No Issue
17	earnedBalances	external	Passed	No Issue
18	lockedBalances	external	Passed	No Issue
19	withdrawableBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
20	stake	external	Passed	No Issue
21	mint	external	Passed	No Issue
22	withdraw	write	Passed	No Issue
23	getReward	write	Passed	No Issue
24	emergencyWithdraw	external	Critical operation lacks event log	Refer Audit Findings
25	withdrawExpiredLocks	external	Critical operation lacks event log	Refer Audit Findings
26	_notifyReward	internal	Passed	No Issue
27	notifyRewardAmount	external	Passed	No Issue
28	recoverERC20	external	access only Owner	No Issue
29	updateReward	modifier	Passed	No Issue
30	receive	external	Passed	No Issue
31	setTeamWalletAddress	external	Passed	No Issue
32	setTeamRewardPercent	external	Passed	No Issue

DaoZapMMSwap.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	zap	external	Passed	No Issue
8	receive	external	Passed	No Issue
9	swap	internal	access only Owner	No Issue
10	doSwapETH	internal	Passed	No Issue
11	approveToken	internal	Passed	No Issue
12	calculateSwapInAmount	internal	Passed	No Issue
13	addZap	external	access only Owner	No Issue
14	removeZap	external	access only Owner	No Issue

NFTController.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	initialize	write	initializer	No Issue
8	getBoostRate	external	Passed	No Issue
9	setWhitelist	external	access only Owner	No Issue
10	setDefaultBoostRate	external	access only Owner	No Issue
11	setBoostRate	external	access only Owner	No Issue

Fund.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue

7	nonReentrant	modifier	Passed	No Issue
8	initialize	external	initializer	No Issue
9	allocation	read	Passed	No Issue
10	vestingStart	read	Passed	No Issue
11	vestingDuration	read	Passed	No Issue
12	currentBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
13	vestedBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
14	claimable	read	Passed	No Issue
15	transfer	external	access only Owner	No Issue

DevFund.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	initialize	external	Passed	No Issue
3	allocation	read	Passed	No Issue
4	vestingStart	read	Passed	No Issue
5	vestingDuration	read	Passed	No Issue
6	currentBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
7	vestedBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
8	claimable	read	Passed	No Issue
9	transfer	external	access only Owner	No Issue
10	allocation	write	Passed	No Issue
11	vestingStart	write	Passed	No Issue
12	vestingDuration	write	Passed	No Issue

Reserve.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	initializer	modifier	Passed	No Issue
3	setRewarder	external	Passed	No Issue
4	setPool	external	access only Owner	No Issue
5	removePool	external	access only Owner	No Issue
6	transfer	external	Passed	No Issue

EcosystemFund.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	initialize	external	Passed	No Issue
3	allocation	read	Passed	No Issue

4	vestingStart	read	Passed	No Issue
5	vestingDuration	read	Passed	No Issue
6	currentBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
7	vestedBalance	read	Passed	No Issue
8	claimable	read	Passed	No Issue
9	transfer	external	access only Owner	No Issue
10	allocation	write	Passed	No Issue
11	vestingStart	write	Passed	No Issue
12	vestingDuration	write	Passed	No Issue

MasterOracle.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	getXTokenPrice	read	Passed	No Issue
8	getYTokenPrice	read	Passed	No Issue
9	getXTokenTWAP	read	Passed	No Issue
10	getYTokenTWAP	read	Passed	No Issue

UniswapPairOracle.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	setPeriod	external	access only Owner	No Issue
3	update	external	Passed	No Issue
4	twap	external	Passed	No Issue
5	spot	external	Passed	No Issue
6	currentBlockTimestamp	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	currentCumulativePrices	internal	Passed	No Issue

XToken.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	onlyMinter	modifier	Passed	No Issue
3	setMinter	external	access only Owner	No Issue
4	removeMinter	external	access only Owner	No Issue

5	mint	external	access only Minter	No Issue
---	------	----------	--------------------	----------

YToken.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	burn	write	Passed	No Issue
3	burnFrom	write	Passed	No Issue

AKIBA.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	OpenTrade	external	Passed	No Issue
3	includeToWhitelist	write	Passed	No Issue
4	excludeFromWhitelist	write	Passed	No Issue
5	transfer	write	Passed	No Issue

KAVAX.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	OpenTrade	external	Passed	No Issue
3	includeToWhitelist	write	Passed	No Issue
4	excludeFromWhitelist	write	Passed	No Issue
5	transfer	internal	Passed	No Issue

StratRecollateralize.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	recollateralize	external	access only Owner	No Issue
3	receive	external	Passed	No Issue

StratReduceReserveLP.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue

2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	_transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	reduceReserve	external	access only Owner	No Issue
8	swap	internal	Passed	No Issue

DaoTreasury.sol

Functions

Sl.	Functions	Type	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	write	Passed	No Issue
2	owner	read	Passed	No Issue
3	onlyOwner	modifier	Passed	No Issue
4	renounceOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
5	transferOwnership	write	access only Owner	No Issue
6	_transferOwnership	internal	Passed	No Issue
7	balanceOf	read	Passed	No Issue
8	requestFund	external	Passed	No Issue
9	addStrategy	external	access only Owner	No Issue
10	removeStrategy	external	access only Owner	No Issue
11	allocateFee	external	access only Owner	No Issue

Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to token loss etc.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

Audit Findings

Critical Severity

No Critical severity vulnerabilities were found.

High Severity

No High severity vulnerabilities were found.

Medium

No Medium severity vulnerabilities were found.

Low

(1) Critical operation lacks event log: [DaoStaking.sol](#)

Missing event log for:

1. withdrawExpiredLocks
2. emergencyWithdraw.

Resolution: Write an event log for listed events.

(2) Function input parameters lack of check: [DaoStaking.sol](#)

Variable validation is not performed in the functions below :

1. addReward
2. approveRewardDistributor.

Resolution: We advise to put validation like integer type variables should be greater than 0 and address type variables should not be address(0).

(3) Function access control lacks management: [Pool.sol](#)

The Treasury address is used to transfer fees. The treasury address can be set only once but anyone can execute the setTreasury function.

Resolution: The owner has to make sure to set treasury before anyone sets it.

Status: Acknowledged.

Very Low / Informational / Best practices:

(1) SPDX license identifier Missing: [MockTreasury.sol](#)

SPDX license identifier not provided in source file.

Resolution: We suggest adding an SPDX license identifier.

(2) HardCoded address: [WethUtils.sol](#)

```
IWETH public constant weth = IWETH(0xc86c7C0eFbd6A49B35E8714C5f59D99De09A225b); // WKAVA
```

These addresses have been set to static addresses and cannot be changed after deploying.

Resolution: We suggest that the deployer should confirm before deploying contracts.

Centralization

This smart contract has some functions which can be executed by the Admin (Owner) only. If the admin wallet private key would be compromised, then it would create trouble. Following are Admin functions:

Pool.sol

- toggle: Owner can turn on / off minting and redemption.
- setCollateralRatioOptions: Owner can configure variables related to Collateral Ratio.
- toggleCollateralRatio: Owner can pause or unpaue collateral ratio updates.
- setFees: Owner can set the protocol fees.
- setMinCollateralRatio: Owner can set the minimum Collateral Ratio.
- reduceExcessCollateral: Owner can transfer the excess balance of WETH to FeeReserve.
- setSwapStrategy: Owner can set the address of Swapper utils.
- setOracle: Owner can set new oracle address.
- setYTokenSlippage: Owner can set yTokenSlippage.

SwapStrategyPOL.sol

- cleanDust: Owner can clean dust.
- changeSlippage: Owner can change slippage value.

DaoChef.sol

- add: Owner can add a new LP to the pool.
- set: Owner can update the given pool's reward allocation point and 'IReward' contract
- setRewardPerSecond: Owner can set the reward per second to be distributed.
- setRewardMinter: Owner can set the address of rewardMinter.
- depositNFT: Owner can check if User does not have the specified NFT.
- setNftController: Owner can set Nft Controller address.
- setNftBoostRate: Owner can set Nft Boost Rate.

DaoStaking.sol

- addReward: Owner can add a new reward token to be distributed to stakers.
- approveRewardDistributor: Owner can modify approval for an address to call notifyRewardAmount.
- recoverERC20: Owner can be added to support recovering LP Rewards from other systems such as BAL to be distributed to holders.
- setTeamWalletAddress: Owner can set team wallet address.
- setTeamRewardPercent: Owner can set team reward percentage.

DaoZapMMSwap.sol

- addZap: Owner can add new zap configuration.
- removeZap: Owner can Deactivate a Zap configuration.

NFTController.sol

- setWhitelist: Owner can set whitelist addresses.
- setDefaultBoostRate: Owner can set default BoostRate value 1%.
- setBoostRate: Owner can set BoostRate value 1%.

Fund.sol

- transfer: Owner can transfer amounts.

Reserve.sol

- setPool: Owner can set pool address.
- removePool: Owner can remove pool address.

UniswapPairOracle.sol

- setPeriod: Owner can set the period.

XToken.sol

- setMinter: Owner can set minter address for XToken.
- removeMinter: Owner can remove minter address from XToken.

AKIBA.sol

- **OpenTrade:** Owner can set openTrading true status.
- **includeToWhitelist:** Owner can include address to Whitelist.
- **excludeFromWhitelist:** Owner can exclude address from Whitelist.

KAVAX.sol

- **OpenTrade:** Owner can set openTrading true status.
- **includeToWhitelist:** Owner can include address to Whitelist.
- **excludeFromWhitelist:** Owner can exclude address from Whitelist.

DaoTreasury.sol

- **addStrategy:** Owner can add new strategy.
- **removeStrategy:** Owner can remove the current strategy.
- **allocateFee:** Owner can allocate protocol's fee to stakers.

StratRecollateralize.sol

- **recollateralize:** Owner can recollateralize the minting pool.

StratReduceReserveLP.sol

- **reduceReserve:** Owner can remove liquidity, buy back YToken and burn.

To make the smart contract 100% decentralized, we suggest renouncing ownership in the airdrop smart contract once its function is completed.

Conclusion

We were given a contract code in the form of files. And we have used all possible tests based on given objects as files. We had observed some low severity issues in the smart contracts and they were resolved in the revised smart contract code. **So, the smart contracts are ready for the mainnet deployment.**

Since possible test cases can be unlimited for such smart contracts protocol, we provide no such guarantee of future outcomes. We have used all the latest static tools and manual observations to cover maximum possible test cases to scan everything.

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. Smart Contract's high-level description of functionality was presented in the As-is overview section of the report.

Audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Security state of the reviewed contract, based on standard audit procedure scope, is **“Secured”**.

Our Methodology

We like to work with a transparent process and make our reviews a collaborative effort. The goals of our security audits are to improve the quality of systems we review and aim for sufficient remediation to help protect users. The following is the methodology we use in our security audit process.

Manual Code Review:

In manually reviewing all of the code, we look for any potential issues with code logic, error handling, protocol and header parsing, cryptographic errors, and random number generators. We also watch for areas where more defensive programming could reduce the risk of future mistakes and speed up future audits. Although our primary focus is on the in-scope code, we examine dependency code and behavior when it is relevant to a particular line of investigation.

Vulnerability Analysis:

Our audit techniques included manual code analysis, user interface interaction, and whitebox penetration testing. We look at the project's web site to get a high level understanding of what functionality the software under review provides. We then meet with the developers to gain an appreciation of their vision of the software. We install and use the relevant software, exploring the user interactions and roles. While we do this, we brainstorm threat models and attack surfaces. We read design documentation, review other audit results, search for similar projects, examine source code dependencies, skim open issue tickets, and generally investigate details other than the implementation.

Documenting Results:

We follow a conservative, transparent process for analyzing potential security vulnerabilities and seeing them through successful remediation. Whenever a potential issue is discovered, we immediately create an Issue entry for it in this document, even though we have not yet verified the feasibility and impact of the issue. This process is conservative because we document our suspicions early even if they are later shown to not represent exploitable vulnerabilities. We generally follow a process of first documenting the suspicion with unresolved questions, then confirming the issue through code analysis, live experimentation, or automated tests. Code analysis is the most tentative, and we strive to provide test code, log captures, or screenshots demonstrating our confirmation. After this we analyze the feasibility of an attack in a live system.

Suggested Solutions:

We search for immediate mitigations that live deployments can take, and finally we suggest the requirements for remediation engineering for future releases. The mitigation and remediation recommendations should be scrutinized by the developers and deployment engineers, and successful mitigation and remediation is an ongoing collaborative process after we deliver our report, and before the details are made public.

Disclaimers

EtherAuthority.io Disclaimer

EtherAuthority team has analyzed this smart contract in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to: cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment and functionality (performing the intended functions).

Due to the fact that the total number of test cases are unlimited, the audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only. We also suggest conducting a bug bounty program to confirm the high level of security of this smart contract.


Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on the blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have their own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.

Appendix

Code Flow Diagram - Akiba Finance


Pool Diagram



This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io


SwapStrategyPOL Diagram



This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io


DaoChef Diagram



This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io


DaoStaking Diagram




This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io

DaoZapMMSwap Diagram




MasterOracle Diagram



[illegible]

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io


XToken Diagram




YToken Diagram




DevFund Diagram




EcosystemFund Diagram




Fund Diagram




Reserve Diagram




AKIBA Diagram



NFTController Diagram




KAVAX Diagram




This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io


DaoTreasury Diagram



StratRecollateralize Diagram



StratReduceReserveLP Diagram



Slither Results Log

Slither log >> Pool.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
name() should be declared external:
- ERC20.name() (Pool.sol#588-590)
symbol() should be declared external:
- ERC20.symbol() (Pool.sol#596-598)
decimals() should be declared external:
- ERC20.decimals() (Pool.sol#613-615)
totalSupply() should be declared external:
- ERC20.totalSupply() (Pool.sol#620-622)
balanceOf(address) should be declared external:
- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (Pool.sol#627-629)
transfer(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transfer(address,uint256) (Pool.sol#639-643)
approve(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.approve(address,uint256) (Pool.sol#662-666)
transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (Pool.sol#684-693)
increaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.increaseAllowance(address,uint256) (Pool.sol#707-711)
decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) (Pool.sol#727-736)
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (Pool.sol#944-946)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (Pool.sol#952-955)
refreshCollateralRatio() should be declared external:
- Pool.refreshCollateralRatio() (Pool.sol#1227-1253)
toggle(bool,bool) should be declared external:
- Pool.toggle(bool,bool) (Pool.sol#1394-1398)
setCollateralRatioOptions(uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256) should be declared external:
- Pool.setCollateralRatioOptions(uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256) (Pool.sol#1405-1416)
toggleCollateralRatio(bool) should be declared external:
- Pool.toggleCollateralRatio(bool) (Pool.sol#1420-1425)
setFees(uint256,uint256) should be declared external:
- Pool.setFees(uint256,uint256) (Pool.sol#1430-1436)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
```

```
INFO:Slither:Pool.sol analyzed (18 contracts with 75 detectors), 87 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration
```

Slither log >> SwapStrategyPOL.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
Function IUniswapV2Router01.WETH() (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#12) is not in mixedCase
Constant WethUtils.weth (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#523) is not in UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES
Parameter SwapStrategyPOL.execute(uint256,uint256)._wethIn (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#653) is not in mixedCase
Parameter SwapStrategyPOL.execute(uint256,uint256)._yTokenOut (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#653) is not in mixedCase
Parameter SwapStrategyPOL.swap(uint256,uint256)._wethToSwap (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#671) is not in mixedCase
Parameter SwapStrategyPOL.swap(uint256,uint256)._minYTokenOut (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#671) is not in mixedCase
Parameter SwapStrategyPOL.changeSlippage(uint256)._newSlippage (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#715) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
Variable IUniswapV2Router01.addLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256).amountADesired (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#17) is too similar to IUniswapV2Router01.addLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256).amountBDesired (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#18)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-are-too-similar
INFO:Detectors:
SwapStrategyPOL.slitherConstructorVariables() (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#616-728) uses literals with too many digits:
- swapSlippage = 200000 (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#625)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits
INFO:Detectors:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#585-587)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#593-596)
lpBalance() should be declared external:
- SwapStrategyPOL.lpBalance() (SwapStrategyPOL.sol#644-646)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:SwapStrategyPOL.sol analyzed (11 contracts with 75 detectors), 37 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration
```

Slither log >> DaoChef.sol

```
DaoChef.updatePool(uint256) (DaoChef.sol#313-326) has external calls inside a loop: lpSupply = lpToken[pid].balanceOf(address(this)) (DaoChef.sol#316)
DaoChef.harvest(uint256,address) (DaoChef.sol#377-397) has external calls inside a loop: rewardMinter.mint(to,_pendingReward) (DaoChef.sol#386)
DaoChef.getBoost(address,uint256) (DaoChef.sol#502-511) has external calls inside a loop: boost += controller.getBoostRate(slot.tokenAddress[i],slot.tokenId[i]) (DaoChef.sol#508)
DaoChef.harvest(uint256,address) (DaoChef.sol#377-397) has external calls inside a loop: rewardMinter.mint(to,boost) (DaoChef.sol#388)
DaoChef.harvest(uint256,address) (DaoChef.sol#377-397) has external calls inside a loop: _rewarder.onReward(pid,msg.sender,to,_pendingReward,user.amount) (DaoChef.sol#393)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#calls-inside-a-loop
Reentrancy in DaoChef.deposit(uint256,uint256,address) (DaoChef.sol#335-354):
  External calls:
  - _rewarder.onReward(pid,to,to,0,user.amount) (DaoChef.sol#348)
  - lpToken[pid].safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(this),amount) (DaoChef.sol#351)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Deposit(msg.sender,pid,amount,to) (DaoChef.sol#353)
```

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io

```

DaoChef.pendingReward(uint256,address) (DaoChef.sol#300-311) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTime && lpSupply != 0 (DaoChef.sol#305)
DaoChef.updatePool(uint256) (DaoChef.sol#313-326) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- block.timestamp > pool.lastRewardTime (DaoChef.sol#315)
DaoChef.massUpdatePools() (DaoChef.sol#328-333) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- i < len (DaoChef.sol#330)
DaoChef.harvestAllRewards(address) (DaoChef.sol#444-449) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- pid < length (DaoChef.sol#446)
DaoChef.checkPoolDuplicate(IERC20) (DaoChef.sol#452-457) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- pid < length (DaoChef.sol#454)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp

```

```

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
DaoChef.sol analyzed (9 contracts with 84 detectors), 59 result(s) found

```

Slither log >> DaoStaking.sol

```

DaoStaking._rewardPerToken(address,uint256) (DaoStaking.sol#888-896) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- _supply == 0 (DaoStaking.sol#889)
DaoStaking.unlockedBalance(address) (DaoStaking.sol#939-949) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- earnings[i].unlockTime > block.timestamp (DaoStaking.sol#943)
DaoStaking.earnedBalances(address) (DaoStaking.sol#953-967) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- earnings[i].unlockTime > block.timestamp (DaoStaking.sol#957)
DaoStaking.lockedBalances(address) (DaoStaking.sol#970-995) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- locks[i].unlockTime > block.timestamp (DaoStaking.sol#983)
DaoStaking.withdrawableBalance(address) (DaoStaking.sol#998-1016) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- i < length (DaoStaking.sol#1003)
- earnedAmount == 0 (DaoStaking.sol#1005)
- userEarnings[user][i].unlockTime > block.timestamp (DaoStaking.sol#1006)
DaoStaking.stake(uint256,bool) (DaoStaking.sol#1022-1042) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- idx == 0 || userLocks[msg.sender][idx - 1].unlockTime < unlockTime (DaoStaking.sol#1032)
DaoStaking.mint(address,uint256) (DaoStaking.sol#1047-1064) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- idx == 0 || earnings[idx - 1].unlockTime < unlockTime (DaoStaking.sol#1057)
DaoStaking.withdraw(uint256) (DaoStaking.sol#1069-1112) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- penaltyAmount == 0 && userEarnings[msg.sender][i].unlockTime > block.timestamp (DaoStaking.sol#1084)
DaoStaking.emergencyWithdraw() (DaoStaking.sol#1134-1148) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- penaltyAmount > 0 (DaoStaking.sol#1144)
DaoStaking.withdrawExpiredLocks() (DaoStaking.sol#1151-1171) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- locks[length - 1].unlockTime <= block.timestamp (DaoStaking.sol#1156)
- locks[i].unlockTime > block.timestamp (DaoStaking.sol#1161)

```

```

DaoStaking.withdraw(uint256) (DaoStaking.sol#1069-1112) has costly operations inside a loop:
- delete userEarnings[msg.sender] (DaoStaking.sol#1089)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#costly-operations-inside-a-loop

```

```

Reentrancy in DaoStaking.getReward() (DaoStaking.sol#1115-1131):
External calls:
- address(msg.sender).transfer(reward) (DaoStaking.sol#1124)
State variables written after the call(s):
- rewards[msg.sender][_rewardToken] = 0 (DaoStaking.sol#1120)
Event emitted after the call(s):
- RewardPaid(msg.sender,_rewardToken,reward) (DaoStaking.sol#1128)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-4

```

```

Variable DaoStaking.getReward()._rewardToken (DaoStaking.sol#1117) is too similar to DaoStaking.rewardTokens (DaoStaking.sol#805)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-too-similar

```

```

DaoStaking.stakingToken (DaoStaking.sol#803) should be immutable
DaoStaking.stakingTokenReserve (DaoStaking.sol#804) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
DaoStaking.sol analyzed (13 contracts with 84 detectors), 87 result(s) found

```

Slither log >> DaoZapMMSwap.sol

```

Pragma version0.8.4 (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#3) allows old versions
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

```

```

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#387-392):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#390)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#455-466):
- (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#464)

```

```

Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#484-493):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#491)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#511-520):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#518)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls

```

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io

```

Constant WethUtils.weth (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#644) is not in UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.zap(uint256,uint256,uint256,bool)._zapId (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#817) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.zap(uint256,uint256,uint256,bool)._ethIn (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#819) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.swap(address,uint256,address)._ethIn (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#854) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.doSwapETH(address,address,uint256)._fromToken (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#872) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.doSwapETH(address,address,uint256)._toToken (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#873) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.approveToken(address,address,uint256)._token (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#889) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.approveToken(address,address,uint256)._spender (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#890) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.approveToken(address,address,uint256)._amount (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#891) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.addZap(address,address,uint256)._token0 (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#917) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.addZap(address,address,uint256)._token (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#918) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.addZap(address,address,uint256)._pid (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#919) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoZapMMSwap.removeZap(uint256)._zapId (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#930) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions

DaoZapMMSwap.daoChef (DaoZapMMSwap.sol#799) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
DaoZapMMSwap.sol analyzed (14 contracts with 84 detectors), 56 result(s) found

```

Slither log >> Fund.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version0.8.4 (Fund.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (Fund.sol#131-136):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (Fund.sol#134)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (Fund.sol#199-210):
- (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (Fund.sol#208)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (Fund.sol#228-237):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (Fund.sol#235)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (Fund.sol#255-264):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (Fund.sol#262)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
Parameter Fund.initialize(address)._fsm (Fund.sol#545) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
Fund (Fund.sol#538-588) does not implement functions:
- Fund.allocation() (Fund.sol#552)
- Fund.vestingDuration() (Fund.sol#556)
- Fund.vestingStart() (Fund.sol#554)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unimplemented-functions
INFO:Detectors:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (Fund.sol#513-515)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (Fund.sol#521-524)
currentBalance() should be declared external:
- Fund.currentBalance() (Fund.sol#558-560)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:Fund.sol analyzed (7 contracts with 75 detectors), 28 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration

```

Slither log >> MasterOracle.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
Context._msgData() (MasterOracle.sol#19-21) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version0.8.4 (MasterOracle.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Variable MasterOracle.constructor(address,address,address,address)._oracleXToken (MasterOracle.sol#93) is too similar to MasterOracle.constructor(address,address,address,address)._oracleYToken (MasterOracle.sol#94)
Variable MasterOracle.oracleXToken (MasterOracle.sol#84) is too similar to MasterOracle.oracleYToken (MasterOracle.sol#85)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-are-too-similar
INFO:Detectors:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (MasterOracle.sol#57-59)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (MasterOracle.sol#65-68)
getXTokenPrice() should be declared external:
- MasterOracle.getXTokenPrice() (MasterOracle.sol#106-108)
getYTokenPrice() should be declared external:
- MasterOracle.getYTokenPrice() (MasterOracle.sol#110-112)
getXTokenTWAP() should be declared external:
- MasterOracle.getXTokenTWAP() (MasterOracle.sol#114-116)
getYTokenTWAP() should be declared external:
- MasterOracle.getYTokenTWAP() (MasterOracle.sol#118-120)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:MasterOracle.sol analyzed (4 contracts with 75 detectors), 11 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration

```


Slither log >> UniswapPairOracle.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
name() should be declared external:
- ERC20.name() (UniswapPairOracle.sol#356-358)
symbol() should be declared external:
- ERC20.symbol() (UniswapPairOracle.sol#364-366)
decimals() should be declared external:
- ERC20.decimals() (UniswapPairOracle.sol#381-383)
totalSupply() should be declared external:
- ERC20.totalSupply() (UniswapPairOracle.sol#388-390)
balanceOf(address) should be declared external:
- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (UniswapPairOracle.sol#395-397)
transfer(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transfer(address,uint256) (UniswapPairOracle.sol#407-411)
approve(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.approve(address,uint256) (UniswapPairOracle.sol#430-434)
transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (UniswapPairOracle.sol#452-461)
increaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.increaseAllowance(address,uint256) (UniswapPairOracle.sol#475-479)
decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) (UniswapPairOracle.sol#495-504)
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (UniswapPairOracle.sol#714-716)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (UniswapPairOracle.sol#722-725)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:UniswapPairOracle.sol analyzed (17 contracts with 75 detectors), 66 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration
```

Slither log >> XToken.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
name() should be declared external:
- ERC20.name() (XToken.sol#134-136)
symbol() should be declared external:
- ERC20.symbol() (XToken.sol#142-144)
decimals() should be declared external:
- ERC20.decimals() (XToken.sol#159-161)
totalSupply() should be declared external:
- ERC20.totalSupply() (XToken.sol#166-168)
balanceOf(address) should be declared external:
- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (XToken.sol#173-175)
transfer(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transfer(address,uint256) (XToken.sol#185-189)
approve(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.approve(address,uint256) (XToken.sol#208-212)
transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (XToken.sol#230-239)
increaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.increaseAllowance(address,uint256) (XToken.sol#253-257)
decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) (XToken.sol#273-282)
burn(uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20Burnable.burn(uint256) (XToken.sol#463-465)
burnFrom(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20Burnable.burnFrom(address,uint256) (XToken.sol#478-481)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:XToken.sol analyzed (6 contracts with 75 detectors), 21 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration
```

Slither log >> YToken.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
name() should be declared external:
- ERC20.name() (YToken.sol#134-136)
symbol() should be declared external:
- ERC20.symbol() (YToken.sol#142-144)
decimals() should be declared external:
- ERC20.decimals() (YToken.sol#159-161)
totalSupply() should be declared external:
- ERC20.totalSupply() (YToken.sol#166-168)
balanceOf(address) should be declared external:
- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (YToken.sol#173-175)
transfer(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transfer(address,uint256) (YToken.sol#185-189)
approve(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.approve(address,uint256) (YToken.sol#208-212)
transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (YToken.sol#230-239)
increaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.increaseAllowance(address,uint256) (YToken.sol#253-257)
decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) (YToken.sol#273-282)
burn(uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20Burnable.burn(uint256) (YToken.sol#463-465)
burnFrom(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20Burnable.burnFrom(address,uint256) (YToken.sol#478-481)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:YToken.sol analyzed (6 contracts with 75 detectors), 20 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration
```

Slither log >> StratRecollateralize.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version0.8.4 (StratRecollateralize.sol#3) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (StratRecollateralize.sol#141-146):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (StratRecollateralize.sol#144)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (StratRecollateralize.sol#209-220):
- (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (StratRecollateralize.sol#218)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (StratRecollateralize.sol#238-247):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (StratRecollateralize.sol#245)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (StratRecollateralize.sol#265-274):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (StratRecollateralize.sol#272)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
Constant WethUtils.weth (StratRecollateralize.sol#395) is not in UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES
Parameter StratRecollateralize.recollateralize(uint256)._amount (StratRecollateralize.sol#495) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (StratRecollateralize.sol#457-459)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (StratRecollateralize.sol#465-468)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:StratRecollateralize.sol analyzed (10 contracts with 75 detectors), 28 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration
```

Slither log >> StratReduceReserveLP.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
Function IUniswapV2Router01.WETH() (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#13) is not in mixedCase
Constant WethUtils.weth (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#524) is not in UPPER_CASE_WITH_UNDERSCORES
Parameter StratReduceReserveLP.reduceReserve(uint256,uint256)._amount (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#644) is not in mixedCase
Parameter StratReduceReserveLP.reduceReserve(uint256,uint256)._minYTokenAmount (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#644) is not in mixedCase
Parameter StratReduceReserveLP.swap(uint256,uint256)._wethToSwap (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#668) is not in mixedCase
Parameter StratReduceReserveLP.swap(uint256,uint256)._minYTokenOut (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#668) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
Variable IUniswapV2Router01.addLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256).amountADesired (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#18) is too similar to IUniswapV2Router01.addLiquidity(address,address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256,address,uint256).amountBDesired (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#19)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-are-too-similar
INFO:Detectors:
StratReduceReserveLP.SLIPPAGE_PRECISION (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#622) is never used in StratReduceReserveLP (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#611-676)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unused-state-variables
INFO:Detectors:
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#587-589)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (StratReduceReserveLP.sol#595-598)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:StratReduceReserveLP.sol analyzed (11 contracts with 75 detectors), 34 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration
```

Slither log >> NFTController.sol

```
NFTController.initialize(address).owner (NFTController.sol#387) shadows:
- Ownable.owner() (NFTController.sol#358-360) (function)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing

Address.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (NFTController.sol#198-218) uses assembly
- INLINE_ASM (NFTController.sol#210-213)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
```

```
Pragma version0.8.4 (NFTController.sol#3) allows old versions
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (NFTController.sol#57-62):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (NFTController.sol#60)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (NFTController.sol#125-136):
- (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (NFTController.sol#134)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (NFTController.sol#154-163):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (NFTController.sol#161)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (NFTController.sol#181-190):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (NFTController.sol#188)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
NFTController.sol analyzed (6 contracts with 84 detectors), 22 result(s) found
```

Slither log >> DevFund.sol

```
Fund.vestedBalance() (DevFund.sol#561-572) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- block.timestamp <= _start (DevFund.sol#565)
- block.timestamp > _start + _duration (DevFund.sol#568)
Fund.transfer(address,uint256) (DevFund.sol#579-586) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(bool,string)(amount <= claimable(),Fund::transfer: > vestedAmount) (DevFund.sol#582)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp
```

```

Pragma version0.8.4 (DevFund.sol#3) allows old versions
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (DevFund.sol#131-136):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (DevFund.sol#134)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (DevFund.sol#199-210):
- (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (DevFund.sol#208)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (DevFund.sol#228-237):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (DevFund.sol#235)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (DevFund.sol#255-264):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (DevFund.sol#262)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls

Parameter Fund.initialize(address)._yToken (DevFund.sol#544) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
DevFund.sol analyzed (8 contracts with 84 detectors), 24 result(s) found

```

Slither log >> EcosystemFund.sol

```

Fund.vestedBalance() (EcosystemFund.sol#561-572) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- block.timestamp <= _start (EcosystemFund.sol#565)
- block.timestamp > _start + _duration (EcosystemFund.sol#568)
Fund.transfer(address,uint256) (EcosystemFund.sol#579-586) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(bool,string)(amount <= claimable(),Fund::transfer: > vestedAmount) (EcosystemFund.sol#582)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp

Address.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (EcosystemFund.sol#272-292) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (EcosystemFund.sol#284-287)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage

```

```

Pragma version0.8.4 (EcosystemFund.sol#3) allows old versions
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (EcosystemFund.sol#131-136):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (EcosystemFund.sol#134)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (EcosystemFund.sol#199-210):
- (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (EcosystemFund.sol#208)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (EcosystemFund.sol#228-237):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (EcosystemFund.sol#235)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (EcosystemFund.sol#255-264):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (EcosystemFund.sol#262)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls

Parameter Fund.initialize(address)._yToken (EcosystemFund.sol#544) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
EcosystemFund.sol analyzed (8 contracts with 84 detectors), 24 result(s) found

```

Slither log >> Reserve.sol

```

Reserve.setRewarder(address)._rewarder (Reserve.sol#532) lacks a zero-check on :
- rewarder = _rewarder (Reserve.sol#534)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation

Address.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (Reserve.sol#270-290) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (Reserve.sol#282-285)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage

Reserve.setPool(address) (Reserve.sol#538-542) compares to a boolean constant:
- require(bool,string)(allowedPools[_pool] == false,Reserve::setPool: ALREADY_ALLOWED) (Reserve.sol#539)
Reserve.removePool(address) (Reserve.sol#544-548) compares to a boolean constant:
- require(bool,string)(allowedPools[_pool] == true,Reserve::removePool: NOT_ALLOWED) (Reserve.sol#545)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality

```

```

Pragma version0.8.4 (Reserve.sol#3) allows old versions
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (Reserve.sol#129-134):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (Reserve.sol#132)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (Reserve.sol#197-208):
- (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (Reserve.sol#206)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (Reserve.sol#226-235):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (Reserve.sol#233)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (Reserve.sol#253-262):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (Reserve.sol#260)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls

Parameter Reserve.initialize(address)._akiba (Reserve.sol#525) is not in mixedCase
Parameter Reserve.setRewarder(address)._rewarder (Reserve.sol#532) is not in mixedCase
Parameter Reserve.setPool(address)._pool (Reserve.sol#538) is not in mixedCase
Parameter Reserve.removePool(address)._pool (Reserve.sol#544) is not in mixedCase
Parameter Reserve.transfer(address,uint256)._to (Reserve.sol#550) is not in mixedCase
Parameter Reserve.transfer(address,uint256)._amount (Reserve.sol#550) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
Reserve.sol analyzed (7 contracts with 84 detectors), 31 result(s) found

```

Slither log >> AKIBA.sol

```

YToken.constructor(string,string)._name (AKIBA.sol#485) shadows:
- ERC20._name (AKIBA.sol#114) (state variable)
YToken.constructor(string,string)._symbol (AKIBA.sol#485) shadows:
- ERC20._symbol (AKIBA.sol#115) (state variable)

```

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io


```

AKIBA.constructor(string,string,address,address,address)._name (AKIBA.sol#495) shadows:
- ERC20._name (AKIBA.sol#114) (state variable)
AKIBA.constructor(string,string,address,address,address)._symbol (AKIBA.sol#496) shadows:
- ERC20._symbol (AKIBA.sol#115) (state variable)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing

Context._msgData() (AKIBA.sol#102-104) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code

Pragma version0.8.4 (AKIBA.sol#3) allows old versions
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

Function AKIBA.OpenTrade() (AKIBA.sol#509-513) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AKIBA.includeToWhitelist(address)._address (AKIBA.sol#515) is not in mixedCase
Parameter AKIBA.excludeFromWhitelist(address)._address (AKIBA.sol#522) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions

AKIBA.operator (AKIBA.sol#490) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
AKIBA.sol analyzed (7 contracts with 84 detectors), 11 result(s) found

```

Slither log >> KAVAX.sol

```

XToken.constructor(string,string)._name (KAVAX.sol#531) shadows:
- ERC20._name (KAVAX.sol#114) (state variable)
XToken.constructor(string,string)._symbol (KAVAX.sol#531) shadows:
- ERC20._symbol (KAVAX.sol#115) (state variable)
KAVAX.constructor(string,string)._name (KAVAX.sol#562) shadows:
- ERC20._name (KAVAX.sol#114) (state variable)
KAVAX.constructor(string,string)._symbol (KAVAX.sol#562) shadows:
- ERC20._symbol (KAVAX.sol#115) (state variable)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing

XToken.setMinter(address) (KAVAX.sol#537-540) compares to a boolean constant:
- require(bool,string)(allowedMinters[_minter] == false,XToken::setMinter: ALREADY_ALLOWED) (KAVAX.sol#538)
XToken.removeMinter(address) (KAVAX.sol#542-545) compares to a boolean constant:
- require(bool,string)(allowedMinters[_minter] == true,XToken::setMinter: NOT_ALLOWED) (KAVAX.sol#543)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality

Context._msgData() (KAVAX.sol#102-104) is never used and should be removed
Ownable.initOwner(address) (KAVAX.sol#492-495) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code

KAVAX.operator (KAVAX.sol#558) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
KAVAX.sol analyzed (8 contracts with 84 detectors), 18 result(s) found

```

Slither log >> DaoTreasury.sol

```

Reentrancy in DaoTreasury.requestFund(address,uint256) (DaoTreasury.sol#484-489):
  External calls:
  - IERC20(_token).safeIncreaseAllowance(msg.sender,_amount) (DaoTreasury.sol#487)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - FundRequested(msg.sender,_amount) (DaoTreasury.sol#488)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3

Address.verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (DaoTreasury.sol#279-299) uses assembly
- INLINE_ASM (DaoTreasury.sol#291-294)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage

Pragma version0.8.4 (DaoTreasury.sol#3) allows old versions
solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (DaoTreasury.sol#138-143):
- (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (DaoTreasury.sol#141)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (DaoTreasury.sol#206-217):
- (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (DaoTreasury.sol#215)
Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (DaoTreasury.sol#235-244):
- (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (DaoTreasury.sol#242)
Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (DaoTreasury.sol#262-271):
- (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (DaoTreasury.sol#269)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls

Parameter DaoTreasury.balanceOf(address)._token (DaoTreasury.sol#475) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoTreasury.requestFund(address,uint256)._token (DaoTreasury.sol#484) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoTreasury.requestFund(address,uint256)._amount (DaoTreasury.sol#484) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoTreasury.addStrategy(address)._strategy (DaoTreasury.sol#493) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoTreasury.removeStrategy(address)._strategy (DaoTreasury.sol#503) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoTreasury.allocateFee(address,uint256)._token (DaoTreasury.sol#520) is not in mixedCase
Parameter DaoTreasury.allocateFee(address,uint256)._amount (DaoTreasury.sol#520) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions

DaoTreasury.staking (DaoTreasury.sol#464) should be immutable
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-immutable
DaoTreasury.sol analyzed (7 contracts with 84 detectors), 29 result(s) found

```


Solidity Static Analysis

Pool.sol

Security

Check-effects-interaction:

Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in Pool.refreshCollateralRatio(): Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 1227:4:

Block timestamp:

Use of "block.timestamp": "block.timestamp" can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the block.timestamp, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

[more](#)

Pos: 1251:33:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function Pool.refreshCollateralRatio is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 1227:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

Pool.transferToTreasury(uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 1488:4:

Similar variable names:

Pool.collect() : Variables have very similar names "_sendXToken" and "_sendYToken". Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

Pos: 1329:8:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 1489:8:

SwapStrategyPOL.sol

Security

Check-effects-interaction:

Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in

SwapStrategyPOL.addLiquidity(uint256,uint256,uint256): Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability.

Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 684:4:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function SwapStrategyPOL.changeSlippage is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 715:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

SwapStrategyPOL.cleanDust() : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 710:4:

Similar variable names:

SwapStrategyPOL.addLiquidity(uint256,uint256,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "_amountA" and "_amountB". Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

Pos: 706:54:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 716:8:

Timelock.sol

Security

Check-effects-interaction:

Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in `Timelock.executeTransaction(address,uint256,string,bytes,uint256)`: Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability.

[more](#)

Pos: 86:4:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function `Timelock.queueTransaction` is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 54:4:

Miscellaneous

Guard conditions:

Use `"assert(x)"` if you never ever want `x` to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use `"require(x)"` if `x` can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 112:8:

DaoChef.sol

Security

Check-effects-interaction:

Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in `DaoChef.withdrawNFT(uint256,uint256)`: Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 374:4:

Block timestamp:

Use of `"block.timestamp"`: `"block.timestamp"` can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the `block.timestamp`, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

[more](#)

Pos: 274:72:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function `DaoChef.getSlots` is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 325:4:

Miscellaneous

Guard conditions:

Use `"assert(x)"` if you never ever want `x` to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use `"require(x)"` if `x` can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 394:8:

DaoStaking.sol

Security

Check-effects-interaction:

Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in `DaoStaking.getReward()`: Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 355:4:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function `DaoStaking.withdrawableBalance` is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 238:4:

Delete dynamic array:

The "delete" operation when applied to a dynamically sized array in Solidity generates code to delete each of the elements contained. If the array is large, this operation can surpass the block gas limit and raise an OOG exception. Also nested dynamically sized objects can produce the same results.

[more](#)

Pos: 398:12:

DaoZapMMSwap.sol

Security

Check-effects-interaction:

Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in `UniswapV2Pair._mintFee(uint112,uint112)`: Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 939:4:

Block timestamp:

Use of "block.timestamp": "block.timestamp" can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the block.timestamp, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

[more](#)

Pos: 1538:12:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function `DaoZapMMSwap.addZap` is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 181:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

WethUtils.transfer(address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 26:4:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 196:8:

MasterOracle.sol

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function MasterOracle.getXTokenPrice is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 106:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

IPairOracle.update() : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 10:4:

Similar variable names:

MasterOracle(address,address,address,address) : Variables have very similar names "oracleXToken" and "oracleYToken". Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

Pos: 102:8:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 99:8:

UniswapPairOracle.sol

Security

Block timestamp:

Use of "block.timestamp": "block.timestamp" can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the block.timestamp, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

[more](#)

Pos: 1082:22:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function UniswapV2Pair.sync is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 990:4:

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function UniswapPairOracle.pair is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 1003:4:

ERC

ERC20:

ERC20 contract's "decimals" function should have "uint8" as return type

[more](#)

Pos: 237:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

IERC20.transfer(address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 125:4:

Constant/View/Pure functions:

UniswapPairOracle.currentCumulativePrices(address) : Is constant but potentially should not be. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 1086:4:

Similar variable names:

UniswapV2Pair.swap(uint256,uint256,address,bytes) : Variables have very similar names "reserve1" and "_reserve0". Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.
Pos: 968:73:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 1069:8:

XToken.sol

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function ERC20.decreaseAllowance is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 273:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

ERC20._afterTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 450:4:

Similar variable names:

ERC20Burnable.burnFrom(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount". Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.
Pos: 480:23:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 505:8:

YToken.sol

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function ERC20.decreaseAllowance is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 273:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

ERC20._afterTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not.

[more](#)

Pos: 450:4:

Similar variable names:

ERC20Burnable.burnFrom(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".

Pos: 480:23:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 409:12:

StratRecollateralize.sol

Security

Check-effects-interaction:

Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in StratRecollateralize.recollateralize(uint256): Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 495:4:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function `StratRecollateralize.pool` is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 485:4:

Miscellaneous

Guard conditions:

Use `"assert(x)"` if you never ever want `x` to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use `"require(x)"` if `x` can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 497:8:

StratReduceReserveLP.sol

Security

Block timestamp:

Use of `"block.timestamp"`: `"block.timestamp"` can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the `block.timestamp`, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

[more](#)

Pos: 653:107:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function `StratReduceReserveLP.reduceReserve` is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 644:4:

Miscellaneous

Guard conditions:

Use `"assert(x)"` if you never ever want `x` to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use `"require(x)"` if `x` can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 646:8:

NFTController.sol.sol

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function NFTController.getBoostRate is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 55:4:

Miscellaneous

Similar variable names:

NFTController.getBoostRate(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "token" and "tokenId". Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

Pos: 56:30:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 37:8:

DevFund.sol

Security

Block timestamp:

Use of "block.timestamp": "block.timestamp" can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the block.timestamp, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

[more](#)

Pos: 38:12:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function DevFund.transfer is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 52:4:

Miscellaneous

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 55:8:

EcosystemFund.sol

Security

Block timestamp:

Use of "block.timestamp": "block.timestamp" can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the block.timestamp, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

[more](#)

Pos: 38:12:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function EcosystemFund.currentBalance is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 30:4:

Miscellaneous

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 55:8:

Data truncated:

Division of integer values yields an integer value again. That means e.g. $10 / 100 = 0$ instead of 0.1 since the result is an integer again. This does not hold for division of (only) literal values since those yield rational constants.

Pos: 44:15:

Fund.sol

Security

Block timestamp:

Use of "block.timestamp": "block.timestamp" can be influenced by miners to a certain degree. That means that a miner can "choose" the block.timestamp, to a certain degree, to change the outcome of a transaction in the mined block.

[more](#)

Pos: 572:31:

Miscellaneous

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 583:8:

Data truncated:

Division of integer values yields an integer value again. That means e.g. $10 / 100 = 0$ instead of 0.1 since the result is an integer again. This does not hold for division of (only) literal values since those yield rational constants.

Pos: 572:15:

Reserve.sol

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function Reserve.transfer is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 45:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

Reserve.transfer(address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not. Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 45:4:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 47:8:

AKIBA.sol

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

AKIBA._transfer(address,address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not.

[more](#)

Pos: 49:4:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 30:8:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 54:8:

KAVAX.sol

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function KAVAX.mint is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 40:4:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

KAVAX._transfer(address,address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not.
Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 39:4:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 20:8:

DaoTreasury.sol

Security

Check-effects-interaction:

Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in
DaoTreasury.allocateFee(address,uint256): Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability.
Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 77:4:

Gas & Economy

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function DaoTreasury.balanceOf is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)

Pos: 32:4:

For loop over dynamic array:

Loops that do not have a fixed number of iterations, for example, loops that depend on storage values, have to be used carefully. Due to the block gas limit, transactions can only consume a certain amount of gas. The number of iterations in a loop can grow beyond the block gas limit which can cause the complete contract to be stalled at a certain point. Additionally, using unbounded loops incurs in a lot of avoidable gas costs. Carefully test how many items at maximum you can pass to such functions to make it successful.

[more](#)

Pos: 64:8:

Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:

IDaoStaking.addReward(address,address) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not.
Note: Modifiers are currently not considered by this static analysis.

[more](#)

Pos: 6:4:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.

[more](#)

Pos: 79:8:

Delete from dynamic array:

Using "delete" on an array leaves a gap. The length of the array remains the same. If you want to remove the empty position you need to shift items manually and update the "length" property.

[more](#)

Pos: 62:8:

Solhint Linter

Pool.sol

```
Pool.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
Pool.sol:19:1: Error: Contract has 24 states declarations but allowed no more than 15
Pool.sol:77:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
Pool.sol:198:17: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
Pool.sol:220:34: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
```

SwapStrategyPOL.sol

```
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:28:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:76:9: Error: Variable name must be in mixedCase
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:77:9: Error: Variable name must be in mixedCase
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:74:36: Error: Variable "_reserveIn" is unused
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:74:56: Error: Variable "_tokenIn" is unused
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:77:9: Error: Variable "R" is unused
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:85:127: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
SwapStrategyPOL.sol:109:17: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
```

Timelock.sol

```
Timelock.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
Timelock.sol:23:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
Timelock.sol:111:51: Error: Avoid using low level calls.
Timelock.sol:120:16: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
```

DaoChef.sol

```
DaoChef.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
DaoChef.sol:70:13: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
DaoChef.sol:71:28: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
DaoChef.sol:83:13: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
DaoChef.sol:86:32: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
DaoChef.sol:90:35: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
DaoChef.sol:274:73: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
```

DaoStaking.sol

```
DaoStaking.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
DaoStaking.sol:15:1: Error: Contract has 16 states declarations but allowed no more than 15
DaoStaking.sol:48:29: Error: Constant name must be in capitalized SNAKE_CASE
DaoStaking.sol:51:29: Error: Constant name must be in capitalized SNAKE_CASE
DaoStaking.sol:54:29: Error: Constant name must be in capitalized SNAKE_CASE
DaoStaking.sol:81:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
```

DaoZapMMSwap.sol

```
DaoZapMMSwap.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
DaoZapMMSwap.sol:35:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
DaoZapMMSwap.sol:80:13: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
DaoZapMMSwap.sol:102:32: Error: Code contains empty blocks
DaoZapMMSwap.sol:144:95: Error: Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
DaoZapMMSwap.sol:169:9: Error: Variable name must be in mixedCase
DaoZapMMSwap.sol:170:9: Error: Variable name must be in mixedCase
```

NFTController.sol

```
NFTController.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
NFTController.sol:14:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
NFTController.sol:14:20: Error: Code contains empty blocks
NFTController.sol:48:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
NFTController.sol:48:19: Error: Code contains empty blocks
```

DevFund.sol

```
DevFund.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
```

EcosystemFund.sol

```
EcosystemFund.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
```

Reserve.sol

```
Reserve.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
```

Fund.sol

```
Fund.sol:350:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'
```

MasterOracle.sol

```
MasterOracle.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r semver requirement
MasterOracle.sol:31:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
MasterOracle.sol:90:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
```

UniswapPairOracle.sol

```
UniswapPairOracle.sol:499:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
UniswapPairOracle.sol:581:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
UniswapPairOracle.sol:632:22: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
UniswapPairOracle.sol:1035:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
UniswapPairOracle.sol:1102:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'
```

XToken.sol

```
XToken.sol:277:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
XToken.sol:310:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
XToken.sol:359:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
XToken.sol:410:22: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'
```

YToken.sol

```
YToken.sol:277:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
YToken.sol:310:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
YToken.sol:359:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'  
YToken.sol:410:22: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at '{'
```

AKIBA.sol

```
AKIBA.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r  
semver requirement  
AKIBA.sol:14:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set  
ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)  
AKIBA.sol:29:5: Error: Function name must be in mixedCase
```

KAVAX.sol

```
KAVAX.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the r  
semver requirement  
KAVAX.sol:14:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set  
ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)  
KAVAX.sol:19:5: Error: Function name must be in mixedCase
```

StratRecollateralize.sol

```
StratRecollateralize.sol:360:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at
```

```
{
```

StratReduceReserveLP.sol

```
StratReduceReserveLP.sol:489:18: Error: Parse error: missing ';' at  
{
```

DaoTreasury.sol

```
DaoTreasury.sol:3:1: Error: Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy  
the r semver requirement  
DaoTreasury.sol:23:5: Error: Explicitly mark visibility in function  
(Set ignoreConstructors to true if using solidity >=0.7.0)
```

Software analysis result:

These software reported many false positive results and some are informational issues. So, those issues can be safely ignored.



This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Email: audit@EtherAuthority.io