

www.EtherAuthority.io audit@etherauthority.io

SMART CONTRACT

Security Audit Report

Project:Politicoin TokenWebsite:politicoin.xyzPlatform:EthereumLanguage:SolidityDate:May 3rd, 2024

Table of contents

Introduction	
Project Background	4
Audit Scope	5
Claimed Smart Contract Features	6
Audit Summary	7
Technical Quick Stats	8
Business Risk Analysis	9
Code Quality	10
Documentation	10
Use of Dependencies	10
AS-IS overview	11
Severity Definitions	12
Audit Findings	13
Conclusion	15
Our Methodology	16
Disclaimers	18
Appendix	
Code Flow Diagram	19
Slither Results Log	20
Solidity static analysis	21
Solhint Linter	22

THIS IS SECURITY AUDIT REPORT DOCUMENT AND WHICH MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION WHICH IS CONFIDENTIAL. WHICH INCLUDES ANY POTENTIAL VULNERABILITIES AND MALICIOUS CODES WHICH CAN BE USED TO EXPLOIT THE SOFTWARE. THIS MUST BE REFERRED INTERNALLY AND ONLY SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC AFTER ISSUES ARE RESOLVED.

> This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Introduction

EtherAuthority was contracted by the Politicoin team to perform the Security audit of the POLI Token smart contract code. The audit has been performed using manual analysis as well as using automated software tools. This report presents all the findings regarding the audit performed on May 3rd, 2024.

The purpose of this audit was to address the following:

- Ensure that all claimed functions exist and function correctly.
- Identify any security vulnerabilities that may be present in the smart contract.

Project Background

- Politicoin: holding politicians accountable one meme at the time.
- This token smart contract is an ERC20 token named "Politicoin" with the symbol • "POLI". It inherits from the OpenZeppelin ERC20 contract and implements the standard ERC20 interface.
- Here's a breakdown of its key components: •
 - Context Contract: This abstract contract provides information about the current execution context, including the sender of the transaction and its data. It's used internally within the ERC20 contract.
 - ERC20 Interface: This interface defines the standard functions and events for an ERC20 token. It includes functions like transfer, approve, balanceOf, etc.
 - ERC20 Contract: This contract implements the ERC20 interface and provides 0 basic functionality for managing token balances and allowances. It includes functions to transfer tokens, approve spending, and manage allowances.
 - Politicoin Contract: This is the main token contract that inherits from the ERC20 contract. It sets the name and symbol of the token in its constructor and mints the initial supply of tokens to the contract deployer (msg.sender).
- Overall, this contract provides a basic ERC20 token implementation with the name • "Politicoin" and the symbol "POLI". The total supply is set to 1 billion tokens, and no more tokens will be minted ever.

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Audit scope

Name	Code Review and Security Analysis Report for Politicoin Token Smart Contract	
Platform	Ethereum	
Language	Solidity	
File	Politicoin.sol	
Smart Contract Code	0xb125b35b46e8189b73455a9df776165efb7bb76f	
Audit Date	May 3rd, 2024	

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Claimed Smart Contract Features

Claimed Feature Detail	Our Observation
Tokenomics:	YES, This is valid.
Name: Politicoin	
Symbol: POLI	
Decimals: 18	
Total supply of 1 billion tokens.	
No more token minting	
Ownership Control:	YES, This is valid.
There are no owner functions, which makes it 100%	
decentralized.	

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Audit Summary

According to the standard audit assessment, Customer's solidity based smart contracts are **"Secured"**. This token contract does not have any ownership control, hence it is **100% decentralized**.



We used various tools like Slither, Solhint and Remix IDE. At the same time this finding is based on critical analysis of the manual audit.

All issues found during automated analysis were manually reviewed and applicable vulnerabilities are presented in the Audit overview section. General overview is presented in AS-IS section and all identified issues can be found in the Audit overview section.

We found 0 critical, 0 high, 0 medium and 0 low and 1 very low level issues. We confirm that 1 very low-severity issue is acknowledged in the smart contract code.

Investors Advice: Technical audit of the smart contract does not guarantee the ethical nature of the project. Any owner controlled functions should be executed by the owner with responsibility. All investors/users are advised to do their due diligence before investing in the project.

Technical Quick Stats

Main Category	Subcategory	Result
Contract	Solidity version not specified	Passed
Programming	Solidity version too old	Passed
	Integer overflow/underflow	Passed
	Function input parameters lack of check	Passed
	Function input parameters check bypass	Passed
	Function access control lacks management	Passed
	Critical operation lacks event log	Passed
	Human/contract checks bypass	Passed
	Random number generation/use vulnerability	N/A
	Fallback function misuse	Passed
	Race condition	Passed
	Logical vulnerability	Passed
	Features claimed	Passed
	Other programming issues	Passed
Code	Function visibility not explicitly declared	Passed
Specification	Var. storage location not explicitly declared	Passed
	Use keywords/functions to be deprecated	Passed
	Unused code	Moderated
Gas Optimization	"Out of Gas" Issue	Passed
	High consumption 'for/while' loop	Passed
	High consumption 'storage' storage	Passed
	Assert() misuse	Passed
Business Risk	The maximum limit for mintage not set	Passed
	"Short Address" Attack	Passed
	"Double Spend" Attack	Passed

Overall Audit Result: PASSED

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Business Risk Analysis

Category	Result
Buy Tax	0%
Sell Tax	0%
Cannot Buy	No
Cannot Sell	No
Max Tax	0%
Modify Tax	No
Fee Check	Not Detected
Is Honeypot	Not Detected
Trading Cooldown	Not Detected
Can Pause Trade?	Not Detected
Pause Transfer?	No
Max Tax?	No
Is it Anti-whale?	Not Detected
Is Anti-bot?	Not Detected
Is it a Blacklist?	No
Blacklist Check	No
Can Mint?	No
Is it Proxy?	No
Can Take Ownership?	No
Hidden Owner?	Not Detected
Self Destruction?	Not Detected
Auditor Confidence	High

Overall Audit Result: PASSED

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Code Quality

This audit scope has 1 smart contract. Smart contract contains Libraries, Smart contracts, inherits and Interfaces. This is a compact and well written smart contract.

The libraries in POLI Token are part of its logical algorithm. A library is a different type of smart contract that contains reusable code. Once deployed on the blockchain (only once), it is assigned a specific address and its properties / methods can be reused many times by other contracts in the POLI Token.

The Politicoin team has not provided scenario and unit test scripts, which would have helped to determine the integrity of the code in an automated way.

Code parts are well commented on in the smart contracts. Ethereum's NatSpec commenting style is recommended.

Documentation

We were given a POLI Token smart contract code in the form of an Etherscan web link.

As mentioned above, code parts are well commented on. And the logic is straightforward. So it is easy to quickly understand the programming flow as well as complex code logic. Comments are very helpful in understanding the overall architecture of the protocol.

Use of Dependencies

As per our observation, the libraries are used in this smart contract infrastructure that are based on well known industry standard open source projects.

Apart from libraries, its functions are not used in external smart contract calls.

AS-IS overview

Politicoin.sol

Functions

SI.	Functions	Туре	Observation	Conclusion
1	constructor	read	Passed	No Issue
2	name	read	Passed	No Issue
3	symbol	read	Passed	No Issue
4	decimals	read	Passed	No Issue
5	totalSupply	read	Passed	No Issue
6	balanceOf	read	Passed	No Issue
7	transfer	write	Passed	No Issue
8	allowance	read	Passed	No Issue
9	approve	write	Passed	No Issue
10	transferFrom	write	Passed	No Issue
11	increaseAllowance	write	Passed	No Issue
12	decreaseAllowance	write	Passed	No Issue
13	_transfer	internal	Passed	No Issue
14	_mint	internal	Passed	No Issue
15	_burn	internal	Unused internal	Refer Audit
			function	Findings
16	approve	internal	Passed	No Issue
17	_spendAllowance	internal	Passed	No Issue
18	beforeTokenTransfer	internal	Passed	No Issue
19	_afterTokenTransfer	internal	Passed	No Issue
20	_msgSender	internal	Passed	No Issue
21	_msgData	internal	Unused internal	Refer Audit
			function	Findings

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Severity Definitions

Risk Level	Description
Critical	Critical vulnerabilities are usually straightforward to exploit and can lead to token loss etc.
High	High-level vulnerabilities are difficult to exploit; however, they also have significant impact on smart contract execution, e.g. public access to crucial
Medium	Medium-level vulnerabilities are important to fix; however, they can't lead to tokens lose
Low	Low-level vulnerabilities are mostly related to outdated, unused etc. code snippets, that can't have significant impact on execution
Lowest / Code Style / Best Practice	Lowest-level vulnerabilities, code style violations and info statements can't affect smart contract execution and can be ignored.

Audit Findings

Critical Severity

No Critical severity vulnerabilities were found.

High Severity

No High severity vulnerabilities were found.

Medium

No Medium severity vulnerabilities were found.

Low

No Low severity vulnerabilities were found.

Very Low / Informational / Best practices:

(1) Unused internal function:

These functions are not used in contract.

context.sol

• _msgData

ERC20.sol

• _burn

Resolution: Remove all these functions from the contract.

Status: This issue is acknowledged and safely ignored because it is part of the OpenZepelin library and does not raise any major security vulnerabilities.

Centralization Risk

The POLI Token smart contracts does not have any ownership control, **hence it is 100% decentralized.**

Therefore, there is **no** centralization risk.

Conclusion

We were given a contract code in the form of an <u>Etherscan</u> web link. And we have used all possible tests based on given objects as files. We had observed 1 Informational issue in the smart contracts. We confirm that 1 very low-severity issue is acknowledged in the smart contract code. So, **it's good to go for the production**.

Since possible test cases can be unlimited for such smart contracts protocol, we provide no such guarantee of future outcomes. We have used all the latest static tools and manual observations to cover maximum possible test cases to scan everything.

Smart contracts within the scope were manually reviewed and analyzed with static analysis tools. Smart Contract's high-level description of functionality was presented in the As-is overview section of the report.

Audit report contains all found security vulnerabilities and other issues in the reviewed code.

Security state of the reviewed smart contract, based on standard audit procedure scope, is **"Secured".**

Our Methodology

We like to work with a transparent process and make our reviews a collaborative effort. The goals of our security audits are to improve the quality of systems we review and aim for sufficient remediation to help protect users. The following is the methodology we use in our security audit process.

Manual Code Review:

In manually reviewing all of the code, we look for any potential issues with code logic, error handling, protocol and header parsing, cryptographic errors, and random number generators. We also watch for areas where more defensive programming could reduce the risk of future mistakes and speed up future audits. Although our primary focus is on the in-scope code, we examine dependency code and behavior when it is relevant to a particular line of investigation.

Vulnerability Analysis:

Our audit techniques included manual code analysis, user interface interaction, and whitebox penetration testing. We look at the project's web site to get a high level understanding of what functionality the software under review provides. We then meet with the developers to gain an appreciation of their vision of the software. We install and use the relevant software, exploring the user interactions and roles. While we do this, we brainstorm threat models and attack surfaces. We read design documentation, review other audit results, search for similar projects, examine source code dependencies, skim open issue tickets, and generally investigate details other than the implementation.

Documenting Results:

We follow a conservative, transparent process for analyzing potential security vulnerabilities and seeing them through successful remediation. Whenever a potential issue is discovered, we immediately create an Issue entry for it in this document, even though we have not yet verified the feasibility and impact of the issue. This process is conservative because we document our suspicions early even if they are later shown to not represent exploitable vulnerabilities. We generally follow a process of first documenting the suspicion with unresolved questions, then confirming the issue through code analysis, live experimentation, or automated tests. Code analysis is the most tentative, and we strive to provide test code, log captures, or screenshots demonstrating our confirmation. After this we analyze the feasibility of an attack in a live system.

Suggested Solutions:

We search for immediate mitigations that live deployments can take, and finally we suggest the requirements for remediation engineering for future releases. The mitigation and remediation recommendations should be scrutinized by the developers and deployment engineers, and successful mitigation and remediation is an ongoing collaborative process after we deliver our report, and before the details are made public.

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Disclaimers

EtherAuthority.io Disclaimer

EtherAuthority team has analyzed this smart contract in accordance with the best industry practices at the date of this report, in relation to: cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in smart contract source code, the details of which are disclosed in this report, (Source Code); the Source Code compilation, deployment and functionality (performing the intended functions).

Due to the fact that the total number of test cases are unlimited, the audit makes no statements or warranties on security of the code. It also cannot be considered as a sufficient assessment regarding the utility and safety of the code, bugfree status or any other statements of the contract. While we have done our best in conducting the analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report only. We also suggest conducting a bug bounty program to confirm the high level of security of this smart contract.

Technical Disclaimer

Smart contracts are deployed and executed on the blockchain platform. The platform, its programming language, and other software related to the smart contract can have their own vulnerabilities that can lead to hacks. Thus, the audit can't guarantee explicit security of the audited smart contracts.

Appendix

Code Flow Diagram - Politicoin Token



Slither Results Log

Slither is a Solidity static analysis framework that uses vulnerability detectors, displays contract details, and provides an API for writing custom analyses. It helps developers identify vulnerabilities, improve code comprehension, and prototype custom analyses quickly. The analysis includes a report with warnings and errors, allowing developers to quickly prototype and fix issues.

We did the analysis of the project altogether. Below are the results.

Slither Log >> Politicoin.sol

INFO:Detectors: Politicoin.constructor().totalSupply (Politicoin.sol#551) shadows: - ERC20.totalSupply() (Politicoin.sol#246-248) (function)- IERC20.totalSupply() (Politicoin.sol#70) (function) Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-s hadowing INF0:Detectors: Context._msgData() (Politicoin.sol#38-40) is never used and should be removed ERC20. burn(address,uint256) (Politicoin.sol#437-453) is never used and should be removed Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code INFO:Detectors: Pragma version0.8.24 (Politicoin.sol#21) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.8.18. solc-0.8.24 is not recommended for deployment Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versio s-of-solidity INFO:Detectors: Politicoin.constructor() (Politicoin.sol#549-555) uses literals with too many digits: - totalSupply = 1000000000 (Politicoin.sol#551) Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits INFO:Slither:Politicoin.sol analyzed (5 contracts with 93 detectors), 6 result(s) found

This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.

Solidity Static Analysis

Static code analysis is used to identify many common coding problems before a program is released. It involves examining the code manually or using tools to automate the process. Static code analysis tools can automatically scan the code without executing it.

Politicoin.sol

Gas costs:

Gas requirement of function Politicoin.increaseAllowance is infinite: If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed. Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage (this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage) Pos: 350:29:

Constant/View/Pure functions:

ERC20._afterTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not. <u>more</u> Pos: 557:280:

Similar variable names:

ERC20.(string, string) : Variables have very similar names "_name" and "name_". Pos: 229:52:

Guard conditions:

Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component. <u>more</u>

Pos: 461:3:

Solhint Linter

Linters are the utility tools that analyze the given source code and report programming errors, bugs, and stylistic errors. For the Solidity language, there are some linter tools available that a developer can use to improve the quality of their Solidity contracts.

Politicoin.sol

```
requirement
Pos: 1:20
Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to
Error message for require is too long
Error message for require is too long
Pos: 9:383
Error message for require is too long
Pos: 9:388
Error message for require is too long
Pos: 9:437
Error message for require is too long
Pos: 9:442
Error message for require is too long
Pos: 9:472
Error message for require is too long
Pos: 9:473
Code contains empty blocks
Pos: 24:519
Code contains empty blocks
Pos: 24:539
Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to
Pos: 5:548
```

Software analysis result:

These software reported many false positive results and some are informational issues. So, those issues can be safely ignored.

> This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.



This is a private and confidential document. No part of this document should be disclosed to third party without prior written permission of EtherAuthority.